



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,487	01/09/2002	Andreas Beutler	W-W Case 48	6101
7:	590 03/11/2003			
Flynn, Thiel, Boutell & Tanis, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			LEO, LEONARD R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,487

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23 are pending, and claims 16-23 are withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions ...

Applicant's election of the species of Figure 3 and the sub-species of Figure 5 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 5 and 7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species and sub-species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, the claims appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Regarding claims 8-9, it is not clearly understood what structure of the secondary grooves "expand."

1

Application/Control Number: 10/042,487

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8, 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kastner et al. Regarding claims 2-4, the helical fin 2 has an annular and axial component.

Regarding claim 8 as best understood, the secondary groove 8 "expands" to about 38% of the height of fin 2.

Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nosetani et al. Regarding claims 2-4, the helical fin 4 has an annular and axial component.

Claims 1, 3, 8-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakon et al. Regarding claim 3, the fin 10 is annular. Regarding claims 8-9 as best understood, as permissibly gleaned from Figure 5(b), the secondary groove 16 "expands" to about 5% of the height of fin 10. Regarding claim 11, the fin 10 is notched by groove 11.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishizawa et al (Figures 7-8). Regarding claims 2-4, the helical fin defined by groove 11 has an annular and axial component. Regarding claim 11, the fin defined by groove 11 is notched by groove 12.

Claims 1-4, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu et al. Regarding claims 2-4, the helical fin 21 has an annular and axial component. Regarding claim 11, the fin 21 has notch 51.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/042,487

Art Unit: 3743

Claims 1-4, 8, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Randlett et al. Regarding claims 2-4, the helical fin 21 has an annular and axial component. Regarding claim 8 as best understood, as permissibly gleaned from Figure 2, the secondary groove 20 "expands" to about 21% of the height of fin 12.

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3743

March 9, 2003